ļ	}
1	Q I'm sorry?
2	A I would.
3	Q So you agree with the finding of the
4	Commission in their Order No. 96-0446? Do you have
5	that copy of that order?
6	A I don't think so.
7	Q Look at Page 4 (Hands document to witness).
8	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Horton, did you have
9	any additional copies for us to
10	MR. HORTON: No, ma'am, I don't. That's the
11	order we took notice of earlier. I'll get you copies.
12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Staff, do you have it
13	there to refer to?
14	MR. PELLEGRINI: I have one copy.
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. I just wanted to
16	make sure you at least had a copy.
17	Q (By Mr. Horton) On Page 4 at the bottom of
18	the page, the last sentence in that last paragraph
19	marked "A", you agree with that sentence?
20	A I agree with that.
21	Q And that's basically what I just read to
22	you, isn't that right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Let me go on in your testimony to your third
25	point there on Page 3. Where you say, "The question
ì	

of Bell providing new connect information has already 2 been ordered by the Commission. What is your 3 understanding of the order of the Commission in that respect? 5 The order on March 29th included the residential listings in the order. The residential 7 list as being included in the WBAR. 8 Are the residential listings included in the Q 9 WBAR? 10 No, they are not. But the question had to do with that order. I believe that that was the 11 12 amendment that followed it, that removed that provision that residential listings be required. 14 Q What do you understand the current status to 15 be? 16 The current status I understand of the WBAR 17 is a business report; includes no residential 18 listings. 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Juneau, I must 20 have -- I don't think I heard you correctly. You said the amendatory order the Commission issued did not 21 22 require residential new listings in the WBAR? 23 WITNESS JUNEAU: That is my understanding. 24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you have the

amendatory order in front of you?

WITNESS JUNEAU: No, I do not.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Carver, could you find that and provide it to Mr. Juneau as to where that is in the amendatory order. Not right now.

Mr. Horton you can -- if you could find that let me know. (Hands document to witness.)

Thank you.

Madam Chair, I thought he would continue on with his questions and at some later point he could identify where in the order it was.

- Q (By Mr. Horton) I'm sorry to repeat the question, Mr. Juneau, but I'm not sure just what do you mean by your Point No. 3 on Page 3?
- A The new connect information in that order 0446 issued March 29th required -- had language requiring residential listings in the WBAR. My understanding was when the order was amended it was understood that the new connect information was a part of the monthly refresh, and, therefore, the WBAR requirement was removed in the April 11th amended order.
- Q Then you consider the monthly refresh offering to satisfy the requirements of the Commission Order?
 - A Yes, I do.

	which the monthly refresh is essentially
2	well, it is the same thing as the initial listing
3	which publishers purchase; isn't that correct?
4	A That is correct. And the reason for the
5	monthly refresh is that is the option that the
6	publishers selected from amongst three possible
7	choices to receive. They were offered a study of two
8	or three or three possibilities to select a refresh
9	or an update activity, and based on the presentation
10	of the format and the price suggested, the monthly
11	refresh was the option they asked to be tariffed.
12	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Do you remember the other
13	options?
14	WITNESS JUNEAU: I was not a party to those,
15	but we can certainly provide the details of that if
16	you would like to have it in a late-filed exhibit.
17	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I would like to see that,
18	so let me go ahead and identify as a late-filed
19	BellSouth's options.
20	WITNESS JUNEAU: There were three options
21	available to the publishers.
22	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: "Options Available to
23	Publishers. " I'll identify that as Late-filed
24	Exhibit 7.
25	(Late-Filed Exhibit 7 identified.)

ask a question relative to that? It seems like it would be beneficial not only to have what options you were offering and the rates at which you were offering it and the reasons why, or your understanding as to why they weren't selected. Could that be included?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes.

WITNESS JUNEAU: Okay. We'll include that. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'm sorry, Mr. Horton.

- Q (By Mr. Horton) Let me understand, your understanding is that your monthly refresh offering is what Mr. Screven wants.
- A That's not what I stated. I said the publishers in the negotiations -- I did not mention Mr. Screven specifically.
- Q Did those negotiations cover Mr. Screven and Direct Media?
- A Mr. Screven was a party to some of the meetings. Whether he chose to agree to that or not, I can't speak for Mr. Screven, but the publishers that were participating in this -- in the negotiations chose that option.
- Q All right. Let me explore the monthly refresh, as well as the initial listing. If I'm a directory publisher and I want to publish a directory,

the first thing I would get from you would be the 2 central office list, NPA-NXX listing file, correct? 3 Correct. 4 And for that I would pay 4 cents per 5 listing? 6 Correct. 7 All right. Let's assume we're using a Q central office NPA-NXX of 100,000. So I'd pay you 4 8 9 cents times 100,000 right? 10 Right. 11 Okay. Now, the monthly refresh, isn't the Q monthly refresh simply 11 more copies of exactly what 12 I just purchased to publish my directory? 13 14 It is. 15 So each month I'm going to get a list of Q 100,000 plus or minus, depending upon any changes, 17 right? 18 That's correct. 19 And I pay what for that? 20 12 cents. 21 Q 12 cents? 22 Or is it 16? A (Pause) 12 cents. Well, 23 excuse me. 24 Q 16 cents, isn't it? 25 16. I read it wrong. 16 is four

times. 2 Is it 16 cents plus 4 cents are is it just Q 3 the 16 cents for --I think it is 16 cents for the whole thing. 4 The way it reads is the listing plus 11 monthly 5 refresh would be 16 cents, not 12; a total of 12. 6 7 Do I pay you 16 cents times 100,000 times 8 12? 9 A No. 10 What do I pay you? Q 11 16 cents times 100,000. 12 One time? 13 One time. And the 16 cents is merely a multiple of the 14 15 4-cent central office list, right? 16 Right. 17 There's no cost study or cost basis for the 18 16 cents? 19 Well, there is -- there is an effort to -or a work effort involved in running each additional 20 21 listing. There's a cost involved in running it. each month it's a run of 100,000 listings. 22 I apologize I was trying to find something. 23 Q 16 cents, as I understood from, I believe, some of the 24

responses to the interrogatories, is the 16 cents is

derived strictly as a multiple of the 4-cent central office. Did you agree with that or not?

- A That's the way the rate was derived.
- Q Okay. Now, we can purchase the central office list each month separately, couldn't we?
 - A Yes.

- Q And that was available before this proceeding got started, wasn't it?
 - A Yes.
- Q What are you offering me in your refresh file that wasn't already available?

A couple of significant differences: One is if you were to purchase the file individually, the requirement was that a directory would be published on the basis of that purchase that you were licensed for one use. The idea today is if you would like to have a refresh each month, then you have current data available to you literally at all times, it provides the opportunity not only to publish the initial directory but if you would like to publish specialized directories that are a subset of the total directory, then you would be free to publish additional directories, you'd have the opportunity to sell incremental advertising potentially. The idea is that there is additional value in the refresh and the

opportunity to sell multiple listings.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- If I publish multiple additions of the directory, I pay you a different rate for that, don't I?
- Excuse me, you're right. The refresh is to have a -- just to be able to have the current listing information on a monthly basis. That should not have included the multiple additions. That was the wrong comment.
- Okay. But as far as the monthly refresh, as Q far as the information that I'm getting, there's nothing in that monthly refresh that is not already available through the central office listing file, correct?
- The changed activity would be in there. would get -- you would get the activity that has occurred during the month.
- If I purchased a central office list from you September 1st, and then I purchased another central office list from you on October 1st, I would be getting the same thing on October 1st through that subsequent purchase of a central office list purchase as I would in your monthly refresh; isn't that correct?
 - That's correct.

1 Okay. So what are you offering me that Q wasn't already available through your monthly refresh? 2 3 As far as information is concerned? As far as information is concerned? 4 5 Yes. sir? 6 The same information would be available 7 either way. 8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Juneau, let me ask a question. As I understand what you're saying, a 9 refresh is basically a -- new listings; everything is 10 in one document. How do you tell what is new and what 11 has changed or a difference, a change in the type of service they request? How do you tell that from the 13 refresh service? 15 WITNESS JUNEAU: Commissioner, the way that would be done is the publisher would have to compare the listing from the prior month to the new listing 17 that he's just received. 18 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So, if there were a thousand listings he has to look at each listing and 20 21 determine what, if any, change has taken place. 22 WITNESS JUNEAU: That's correct. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: In the options that you offer the directory publishers, did you offer that 24

kind of option that was just simply the new

information?

witness juneau: Commissioner, I'm not familiar with the details of those offerings and what the specifics were.

commissioner clark: Well, let me ask you this: If you were an independent directory publisher and you simply wanted to be able to contact new people that you might sell advertising to or new customers to deliver your directories to, which would you prefer?

witness juneau: I would prefer to have a specific list of those that had changed. That is a more valuable list. And I believe that's what we'll find is that the reason that the publishers choose not to have an option of that nature, that is a very complex and costly bit of programming to do something like that. And I believe that is at the root of the problem. It is a more technical and complex job.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you think it would cost more than the 12 cents you're requesting for each listing with respect to the refresh option?

WITNESS JUNEAU: I don't know the answer to that question, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I guess -- let me ask it differently. If your return on, I guess it's the DPDS information, is, what did you say, 1300% --

1 WITNESS JUNEAU: That is the percentage of 2 the rate above the incremental cost. 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And what is it again? WITNESS JUNEAU: 1300%. 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Do you think that your costs for providing that sort of only 6 7 supplemental service would be more -- would eat up that entire return? 8 9 WITNESS JUNEAU: I don't know the answer to 10 that, Commissioner. 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: One of the things you indicated in your testimony was you have concern that 12 13 you may be required to tariff something that nobody 14 wants. 15 WITNESS JUNEAU: To actually produce -- to do the work and produce a report that no one would 16 17 purchase, that's correct. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I agree with you that we need to be cautious in that area because I think 19 20 there has been a case where we asked BellSouth to do some sort of tariff for pay telephones and nobody took 21 the service, so I do have concerns about that. 22 23 But you've indicated that you think as a publisher you would find that purely supplemental list

more valuable than a complete reproduction.

WITNESS JUNEAU: 1 I think so. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Then is there a reason 3 why you're not offering it? WITNESS JUNEAU: The reason that I 4 understand is that there has been no demand for the 5 ones that we have presented in the format that we have 6 presented at the rate that we have proposed. And 7 without the specifics, that is the best answer I think 9 I could give on that. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Will that late-filed exhibit give us those answers? 11 12 WITNESS JUNEAU: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. 14 (By Mr. Horton) Just as a general question, do you think that possibly the prices that 15 16 you propose for these services might be a detriment to demand or a cause the demand to be less than 17 productive or what you would like? 18 19 I'm not aware of the prices so I really 20 can't answer that question. 21 Look, if you would, at Interrogatory No. 10, Q which is Page 11. (Pause) Actually, Page 12, I'm 22 sorry. I was going to go look at the CD ROM directory 23

per listing. Do you have that?

Yes.

24

25

A

1 Q The CD ROM listing is 18 cents per listing, 2 correct? 3 Correct. 4 And the rationale for that is that the --5 well, that's a multiple of another rate, too, isn't it? 6 7 I'm not sure of that. You know. Do you know the source of the 18 cent price? 8 9 The 18 cent rate, excuse me. 10 I quess not. 11 In that answer you express or -- you've reviewed these responses. You didn't provide these 12 responses, but I take it that you've reviewed these 13 14 responses? 15 I have reviewed the responses. 16 Q There's a concern expressed that if a DPDS 17 subscriber were to publish a CD ROM directory, it 18 could be used by their customer. There's no reference to the publisher, but it could be used by their 19 customer to provide a directory assistance-type 20 21 service. 22 I'm not sure that I understand what that concern ought to be. As long as the publisher is 23 purchasing the service and is using it, why should you 24

be concerned that their customer might not use the

service the way you would want him to?

A The idea is that used in that fashion, the CD ROM provides a greater value. It can store additional data. It could store, I guess, the listings for the whole state of Florida. The example given is that that could be placed on a network server and effectively be used by many individuals to provide a directory assistance-type service. It's seen as a more valuable product than the listing of a paper directory.

Q But so long as you recover the cost of providing that service through your rate to your price to the directory publisher, what difference does it make to you as to what it can be used with afterwards by a customer of the publisher?

A I guess in the difference of the use, I can't say that, other than the fact that it is a more valuable product.

Q Turn back over to page --

commissioner DEASON: Let me interrupt for just a second. Do you think there's a motivation on the company's part to protect this DA revenue?

witness Juneau: No, Commissioner, I don't see that. DA does have value; and compared to that, that's true. But to state it in terms of just

protecting DA revenue, I wouldn't say so.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: It's based simply upon the fact that it's more valuable to have the information presented in the CD ROM format, therefore, you can demand a higher market rate for information that is used for that purpose?

witness juneau: That's the way I would categorize it. A CD ROM, as I would view it, is more valuable to the customer, just as directory assistance is more valuable in the fashion it is used by a customer than a printed directory. It provides an alternative when that directory is not available. It provides an alternative when the directory may not contain the listing. In this instance the CD ROM could be shared and used as directory assistance. It's one possibility but it does have value as a directory assistance.

- Q (By Mr. Horton) Refer back to Page 11, if you would. Have you got that? Again, with Interrogatory No. 10. Do you have that?
- 21 || A Yes.

- Q With respect to multiple additions, again that rate of 12 cents per listing is based upon value received, correct?
 - A That's correct.

1	
1	Q Now, Mr. Screven purchases a single edition
2	file. He pays 4 cents per listing, correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q If he produces a directory, he has paid you
5	4 cents per listing for that directory?
6	A That's right.
7	Q And that recovers your cost, correct,
8	4 cents per listing?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q If he goes to the expense to extract from
11	his publication, his directory, all of the persons in
12	a particular subdivision and publishes another
13	directory for that area then he incurs those costs,
14	correct?
15	A I'm sorry, repeat that question?
16	Q Mr. Screven publishes a directory based upon
17	the subscriber list he purchases from you.
18	A Okay.
19	Q He's published a directory. He now goes to
20	the expense of extracting from that directory
21	information about individuals who live in, let's say,
22	a particular subdivision. And he publishes a
23	directory for that subdivision. Who has incurred the
24	cost associated with that subsequent publication?

He would have incurred the cost.

1	Q Does BellSouth incur any cost in that
2	instance?
3	A No, they do not. Again, the pricing
4	structure on that one is based on the value of the
5	opportunity to I guess limited only by his creative
6	nature as to what he would like to publish, and I
7	believe it's generally accepted in the publishing
8	industry that you license a single use or, in this
9	situation, you license multiple uses. I believe
10	that's consistent.
11	Q So the more creative Mr. Screven is, the
12	more he's going to pay you?
13	A He'll pay us 12 cents whether he produces
14	two directories or any number of directories.
15	Q But if he purchased the single edition he
16	only pays you 4 cents and that already covers your
17	cost, correct?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q So if he's ingenious and figures up a way
20	that he can make more money off of that list, you want
21	to share in his ingenuity and marketing, right?
22	A It's based on the fact that that has value;
23	that there is additional value in those incremental
24	additions. That's true.
25	Q His marketing and ingenuity has value to

1	you?
2	A His use of the listings.
3	Q Okay.
4	MR. HORTON: I'm just about through.
5	Q (By Mr. Horton) I think this has already
6	been clarified, but I just want to make sure.
7	Switching to another with respect to the WBAR. And
8	the tariff charged to the WBAR.
9	The charge for the WBAR is .006 per listing,
10	correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And that's per change or per total number
13	within the exchange? And use the hypothetical of
14	100,000.
15	A It is based on the records in the exchange.
16	Q Not on the number of changes, but on the
17	total number in that exchange?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q If I purchased the WBAR and there had been
20	no changes, I pay the .006 times 100,000 to get
21	nothing?
22	A That's right. But let me expand on that a
23	little bit.
24	My understanding of the pricing structure on
25	this one was to provide a level pricing structure for
1	1

the publishers. Certainly that you could use another denominator, such as those items that had changed, but then it might vary to where the listing charge might be \$1 -- I mean, the change charge would be \$1 or \$2 or lower. It would be simply using another denominator. In certain months, then, they might have an extensive number of changes. In others, there might be no changes. But again, our understanding is that this was based on their preference for the way this would be priced.

3 |

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Why would the price change?

based on the denominator. If it's based on the number of changes that occurred in that central office, then if that were the demand that were factored into the cost and then into the rate development, rather than the total number of listings in the central office, it would be a much smaller demand and, therefore, a higher cost in rate.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I don't understand that at all.

WITNESS JUNEAU: The denominator in the development of the rates was the number of listings in the central office. Had we substituted another

denominator in the demand, being the number of changes that were anticipated over time, there would be fewer changes than the total number of numbers in that central office.

And, therefore, since you were dividing by a smaller denominator, the rate would be the -- the cost would be higher and the rate associated with that cost would be higher.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But that's based on your view that the revenue you get from providing that should be the same than you would have gotten if you produced all of the information, a thousand listings instead of hundred listings?

WITNESS JUNEAU: The revenue in that example would remain the same. What it does is it levelizes the charge to the publisher rather than having a very significant charge in a month with significant activity in another month in which there would be a minimal charge were there were no activity. And it's similar to the levelized billing on a power bill or a gas bill, I guess. It levelizes the billing by doing that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is there a significant variation from month to month?

WITNESS JUNEAU: I'm not sure how

significant it would be. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Would you perceive that 3 in one month it might be zero and in another month it might be half the number in a particular exchange? 4 5 WITNESS JUNEAU: I wouldn't perceive it a zero. I don't know how wildly it would fluctuate but 6 7 I wouldn't perceive a zero. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Would you agree with me 8 that the primary driver is not the difference in 9 number of difference in changes from month to month, but it's notion of trying to get the same revenues out of that service that you currently get by providing 12 all the numbers. 13 14 WITNESS JUNEAU: Commissioner, I would agree that the estimate of the revenues in that scenario would be the same. 16 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And when you are expecting the same revenues, if you provide fewer 18 19 listings, necessarily, your price goes up. 20 WITNESS JUNEAU: That would be true -- well, 21 fewer listings or fewer changes. 22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Fewer changes? 23 WITNESS JUNEAU: Fewer changes, right.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

for a second. Except for BellSouth, where does BAPCO

(By Mr. Horton) Mr. Juneau, let me go back

24

25

Q

get the information for their directories?

- A The listing information is from BellSouth.
- Q They don't have any other sources of information for their publications?
 - A No, they do not.

- Q Do you know if they publish directories other than the White and Yellow Pages for BellSouth?

 Do they publish specialty directories or anything like that?
- A I have no idea what other directories they publish in terms of special directories other than geographical.
- Q If they were to publish a directory for the same subdivision, that hypothetical subdivision I referenced you a minute ago with Mr. Screven, if they were to publish a directory for that subdivision, do you know if their contract rates or their prices that they paid to BellSouth would be any different?
 - A Be different than they are today?
 - Q Yes.
- A I don't know. As far as I know, it covers it. I don't know. As far as I know, their rates are recovered. Their use of the directory is covered in the agreement we have with BellSouth today, the use of the listings.

1	
1	Q So as far as you know, they pay the
2	financial arrangements are set, and there's no
3	adjustment regardless of how many publications BAPCO
4	may produce.
5	A I'm not aware of that financial arrangement.
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Horton, how much more
7	do you have?
8	MR. HORTON: I've got about 10 minutes.
9	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Staff, how much time do
10	you think you'll need?
11	MR. PELLEGRINI: 20, 30 minutes.
12	Q (By Mr. Horton) Refer, if you would, to
13	your rebuttal testimony, and specifically Page 5.
14	I've got a question for you about the change that you
15	made to that testimony.
16	A Okay.
17	Q BellSouth well, let me ask you this:
18	Does BellSouth have a directory on the Internet?
19	A BellSouth has a it's BellSouth
20	Intelligent Media Ventures. It's a subsidiary.
21	BellSouth Enterprises has a trial business directory.
22	It is not a white pages directory.
23	Q Do they purchase the that's not BAPCO,
24	though, that's

A It's not BAPCO and it's not white pages.

ł	<u> </u>
1	We it's not directory listings. It's business
2	listings.
3	Q And they're purchasing that from you under
4	tariff?
5	A Under the DADS tariff.
6	Q Okay. Just a couple of housecleaning items
7	Let me go back with respect to the regional
8	negotiations. Did you participate in those
9	negotiations?
10	A No, I did not.
11	Q Would you know if those negotiations were
12	successful or whether or not they failed?
13	A You'd have to characterize that for me in
14	"failed". We provided one service as a result of the
15	negotiations, a monthly refresh.
16	Q Do you consider the negotiations
17	successfully concluded?
18	A In that regard we felt like we had provided
19	what the directory publishers had desired.
20	Q Did they tell you that's what they wanted?
21	A That's the choice they took amongst the
22	options we presented.
23	Q And those options are what we're going to
24	see in your late-filed exhibit?
25	A Yes.